

Journal of Advanced Scientific Research

Available online through http://www.sciensage.info

ISSN 0976-9595 Research Article

ATOMIC DESCRIPTORS BASED QSAR STUDY OF CYCLIC UREA DERIVATIVES AS ANTI-HIV DRUG OF PROTEASE INHIBITOR GROUP

Mohan Bhushan Kalhans*¹, Ajay Kumar Singh², Narendra Bahadur Singh¹

¹Department of Chemistry, Bareilly College, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India ²Department of Physics, Bareilly College, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India *Corresponding author: drmbkalhans@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The use of QSAR has become increasingly helpful in understanding many aspects of chemical -biological interactions in peptidic and non peptidic drug research. With a properly designed and carefully tested programs it has become easy to derive a QSAR model for almost any set of biologically active compounds. In the present study, atomic property -based descriptors are calculated at each active site of the proposed model. The atomic descriptors that have been used are ionisation potential (IP), atom electron density, softness of atom, density distribution function, Fukui function. Semi-empirical model PM3 has been used for the calculation and the results obtained by using various MLR equations have been analyzed to interpret the biological activity of proposed models.

Keywords: QSAR, Atomic Descriptors, Atom Electron Density, Fukui Function.

1. INTRODUCTION

The HIV life cycle begins with the high affinity binding GP-120 envelope protein to its receptor CD4 on the host cell surface [1]. The CD4 receptor is a protein molecule and predominantly on a subset of Tlymphocytes responsible for helper or inducer functions in the immature response. Following binding the fusion of virus with host cell membrane occurs via the GP-41 molecule and the HIV genome RNA is uncoated and internalised. The enzyme reverse transcription of genomic RNA into double stranded DNA. The DNA migrates to the nucleus to be integrated into the host cell chromosome through the action of virally encoded enzyme, integrase. The incorporation of this provirus may remain transcription ally latent or manifest in high level of gene expression with active production of virus [2]. The activation of provirus from the latent state by selective and constructive host transcription factors leads to the sequential production of various viral m -RNAs. Thus, the replicative cycle of HIV- 1 presents several targets that could be exploited for the development of anti-HIV chemotherapy and an HIV agent should arrest the virulence and further infection of healthy cells without displaying significant toxicity towards normal cellular physiology.

The cleavage of large polypeptide precursor into smaller functional protein fragments required for packaging and

infectivity of budding virion needs HIV- protease. Protease inhibitors (PIs) block the protease enzyme [3, 4]. Since HIV-1 PR is an aspartic protease and because of that its substrate is peptidic in nature, a number of peptide derived compounds have been identified as HIV-1 PR inhibitors [5]. The development of anti-HIV chemotherapy based on protease inhibition will always be an ongoing need because the virus has the ability to rapidly generate resultant mutants [6-8]. The present study discusses the derivable QSAR on nonpeptidic inhibitors based on atomic descriptors with the help of PM-3 and Cache software.

The replicative cycle of HIV-1 protease presents several targets that could be exploited for the development of anti-HIV chemotherapy. Therefore, this atomic descriptor-based study gave a valuable inference into structural and binding features of the predicted models. Thus, this atomic descriptor based QSAR study and the results obtained therefore may serve as a basis to design biologically active HIV protease inhibitor compound of this class.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The concept of qualitative drugs design is based on the fact that the biological properties of a compound are a function of its physicochemical parameters, which are physical properties as solubility, lipophilicity, electronic effect, ionization and stereochemistry. The most studied descriptors are hydrophobic, electronic and steric. 26 cyclic urea derivatives of non peptidic PR-inhibitors have been used as study materials along with their observed biological activity in terms of log/Ki [9, 10]. The structure of parent compound of cyclic urea derivatives is shown in Fig.1.



Fig. 1: Structure of Cyclic Urea Compound

In present work PM-3 has been used to calculate the quantum chemical descriptor for the 26 derivatives of non peptidic cyclic urea derivatives of protease inhibitor group.

Klopmann [11] provided a very useful parameter to describe the chemical reactivity of a compound with the help of atomic softness values in terms of E_m^{\pm} and E_n^{\pm} . This concept was based on the charge and frontier orbital controlled chemical reaction of perturbation theory. Later the softness of an atom in a molecule further modified by Singh et al. [12-13] to make it applicable for a neutral chemical system. The Klopmann equation is given by:

$$E_{m}^{\neq} = IP_{m} - a^{2}(IP_{m} - EA_{m}) - \left[\frac{x_{r}(C_{r}^{m})^{2}}{R_{r}} \right] (1 - 1/\epsilon) [q_{r} + 2b^{2}x_{r}(C_{r}^{m})^{2}]$$
(1)
$$E_{n}^{\neq} = IP_{n} - b^{2}(IP_{n} - EA_{n}) - \left[\frac{x_{s}(C_{s}^{n})^{2}}{R_{s}} \right] (1 - 1/\epsilon) [q_{s} - 2b^{2}x_{s}(C_{s}^{n})^{2}]$$
(2)

The electron affinity values can be calculated by the following equation

$$EA = -(\in HOMO + \in LUMO) - (IP)$$

By using MLR equations and the predicted activity obtained by MLR analysis is compared with the observed biological activity and this resulted in achieving the best prediction power for the respective combination of descriptors [10].

The Klopmann atomic softness values $E_n^{\#}$ and $E_m^{\#}$, atom electron density, IP, EA, density distribution function and average atomic softness are the various descriptors used for preparing 50 combinations to be used for multiple linear regression analysis. We have compared the predicted activity obtained by the MLR analysis with the available literature values, which has resulted in achieving the best prediction power for the respective combination of descriptors [14].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the MLR analysis the regression equations are developed by the combination of two or three atomic descriptors viz atom electron density, fukui function, ionisation potential, electron affinity, softness values and density distribution function. These values are calculated for the five active sites of the urea derivatives. Excluding outlier compounds which do not show better regression results we have considered 50 3D QSAR models using MLR analysis with the help of various descriptors. The highest values of descriptors based on atomic properties of the compounds are given in the Table 1.

The QSAR models having significant results for cross validation coefficients and correlation coefficients with the combination of different descriptors are given in Table 2.

|--|

| Compound | Highest E_m^{\neq} | Highest E_n^{\neq} | Atom Electron Density (AED) | Ionization Potential (IP) | Electron Affinity (EA) | Density Distribution Function (DDF) | Fukui Function | Activity |
|----------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------|----------|
| T1C1 | 16.259 | -4.529 | 1.874 | 23.248 | -14.186 | 12.900 | 0.234 | 5.240 |
| T1C2 | 16.335 | -4.855 | 1.872 | 23.518 | -14.691 | 9.842 | 0.234 | 7.000 |
| T1C3 | 16.315 | -4.905 | 1.874 | 23.475 | -14.666 | 12.900 | 0.234 | 8.100 |
| T1C4 | 16.369 | -5.003 | 1.873 | 23.609 | -14.851 | 12.893 | 0.235 | 8.850 |
| T1C5 | 16.318 | -4.892 | 1.874 | 23.477 | -14.657 | 12.899 | 0.234 | 8.800 |
| T1C6 | 15.750 | -7.286 | 1.872 | 23.565 | -17.168 | 12.885 | 0.234 | 8.340 |
| T1C7 | 16.315 | -4.903 | 1.874 | 23.478 | -14.672 | 12.898 | 0.234 | 6.590 |
| T1C8 | 16.403 | -4.890 | 1.874 | 23.602 | -14.706 | 12.899 | 0.234 | 6.100 |
| T1C9 | 16.133 | -4.309 | 1.871 | 23.030 | -13.960 | 12.880 | 0.234 | 5.960 |
| T1C10 | 15.748 | -3.653 | 1.869 | 22.236 | -12.991 | 12.864 | 0.234 | 7.310 |
| T1C11 | 16.152 | -4.932 | 1.873 | 23.250 | -14.612 | 12.897 | 0.234 | 7.920 |

Kalhans et al., J Adv Sci Res, 2021; 12 (1) Suppl 1: 301-303

| T1C12 | 14.165 | -3.814 | 1.874 | 23.598 | -23.653 | 12.902 | 0.234 | 8.160 |
|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|---------|--------|-------|-------|
| T1C13 | 16.379 | -4.924 | 1.872 | 23.618 | -14.816 | 12.885 | 0.234 | 7.520 |
| T1C14 | 16.226 | -4.757 | 1.871 | 23.342 | -14.557 | 12.879 | 0.234 | 7.440 |
| T1C15 | 16.378 | -4.714 | 1.869 | 23.592 | -14.691 | 12.865 | 0.234 | 8.280 |
| T1C16 | 14.588 | -1.308 | 1.811 | 20.534 | -11.352 | 12.465 | 0.226 | 8.140 |
| T1C17 | 16.226 | -4.556 | 1.873 | 23.227 | -14.236 | 12.893 | 0.232 | 7.660 |
| T1C18 | 15.947 | -3.792 | 1.869 | 22.576 | -13.267 | 12.870 | 0.234 | 8.680 |
| T1C19 | 16.482 | -4.960 | 1.873 | 23.761 | -14.872 | 12.893 | 0.234 | 8.890 |
| T1C20 | 16.340 | -4.842 | 1.874 | 23.491 | -14.612 | 12.899 | 0.234 | 8.370 |
| T1C21 | 16.368 | -4.842 | 1.872 | 23.572 | -14.716 | 12.884 | 0.234 | 7.430 |
| T1C22 | 14.761 | -2.008 | 1.807 | 21.203 | -12.683 | 12.440 | 0.226 | 8.520 |
| T1C23 | 15.496 | -3.671 | 1.866 | 21.905 | -12.929 | 12.848 | 0.233 | 6.840 |
| T1C24 | 16.032 | -3.284 | 1.868 | 22.530 | -12.457 | 12.859 | 0.233 | 7.050 |
| T1C25 | 15.935 | -3.798 | 1.870 | 22.564 | -13.262 | 12.871 | 0.234 | 7.070 |
| T1C26 | 14.775 | -2.886 | 1.862 | 20.572 | -11.578 | 12.816 | 0.233 | 9.510 |

Table 2: Combination of descriptors and regression values

| Model | Atomic Descriptors | Cross Validation coefficient r ^ | Correlation coefficient ² | |
|-------|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| TC12 | -52.0334* AED+1.12734*IP+78.8736 | 0.374719 | 0.527176 | |
| TC17 | 1.12741* IP-7.55861*DDF+78.874 | 0.374642 | 0.527153 | |
| TC31 | -47.2293* AED+9.63148*IP-0.549203*EA+72.9548 | 0.555787 | 0.724159 | |
| TC32 | -8069.04* AED+1.11395*IP+1164.62*DDF+78.5434 | 0.275543 | 0.528917 | |
| TC33 | -75.0046*AED+1.10762*IP+190.688*FF+77.715 | 0.346464 | 0.538043 | |
| TC34 | 0.963254*IP-0.0549296*EA-6.86123*DDF+72.9602 | 0.556158 | 0.724211 | |
| TC35 | 0.859446*IP-0.0562594*EA-328.852*FF+63.8845 | 0.493108 | 0.641017 | |
| TC38 | -0.0480376* +1.03098*IP-7.4343*DDF+79.2676 | 0.135629 | 0.541102 | |
| TC46 | -0.187519* - 49.0579*AED+1.15624*IP+75.6479 | 0.159365 | 0.540532 | |

4. CONCLUSION

The correlation coefficient and cross validation values obtained using regression equation by the combination of atomic descriptors for the 50 TCs shows that the compound TC12,17,31,32,33,34,35,38&46 have good regression results as the values of \hat{r}^2 is more than 0.5. Among these compounds the decreasing order of protease inhibitor activity is found to be 34>31>35>38>46>33>32>17>12. The replicative cycles of HIV 1 protease present several targets that could be explained for the development of anti-HIV chemotherapy. Therefore, this atomic descriptor based QSAR study and the result obtained thereafter may serve as a fine basis to design biologically active HIV protease inhibitor compounds of this class.

5. REFERENCES

- 1. Faussi AS. Science, 1988; 617:239.
- Richardson NE, Kawaski, M, Brown, N R, Chang, H C, Seliciao RF, Dorfuan T, et al. *Nature London*, 1988; **78**:331.
- Kohl NE, Emini NA, Schleif W, Davis LJ, Heimbach RC, Dixon RAF, et al. *Proc Hall Acad Sci* USA, 1988; 85:4686.

- 4. Peng C, Ho BK, Chang TW, Ceang NT.J Virol, 1989; 53:2550.
- Grentson KJ, Hendrix CW, Colins JM, Kounhauser D, Klicker R, Eckel RH, et al. *Intern Med*, 1991; 111:561.
- 6. Babine RE, Bender SL. Chem Rev, 1997; 97:1359.
- Wodauer A, Ericson JW. Annu Rev Biochem, 1993; 62:543.
- 8. Jacobson H, Winslow OL, Craig JC, Krohn A, Duncan LB, Mous. *J Virol*, 1995; **206**:527.
- Nugnel DA, Jacobs K, Patel M, Kaltenbach RF, Mayer DT, Jadhav PK, et al. J Med Chem, 1996; 39:2156.
- Kalhans MB, Singh AK, Singh NB. International journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, 2019; 8(4):5361-5365.
- 11. Klopmann G.J Am Chem Soc, 1968; 90:223.
- 12. Singh PP, Pasha FA, Srivastava HK. Indian J Chem, 2004; 43B:483.
- 13. Singh PP, Pasha FA, Srivastava HK. Bioorg Med Chem, 2004; 12:843.
- 14. Danishuddin Khan, Asad U. Drug Discovery Today, 2016; 21(8):1291-1302.